

Family Name	Osborne
Given Name	Anthony
Person ID	1286492
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Osborne
Given Name	Anthony
Person ID	1286492
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I can't believe that an adequate review of the current level of traffic congestion has been undertaken. The increased volume of cars would significantly increase journey times and make a daily commute a nightmare at the same time increase the risk to pedestrians and any attempt to ameliorate that risk by means of crossing areas would simply exacerbate the traffic problems. To pretend that public transport would solve that problem is in the minds of a fantasist. There is no rail link within acceptable walking distance of the proposed development, nor is the guided bus lane an option for residents of the development.</p> <p>HS2 job creation in the region: see following quote in the Guardian "The transport secretary, Grant Shapps, told parliament completion of the full northern section of HS2, to Manchester and Leeds, would probably be pushed back by seven years to 2040."</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>The legally compliant issue is nonsense, if it might not comply with the law now the law will be changed to make it compliant.</p> <p>The proposals do not properly take into account the level of housing currently under construction or for which plans have been passed within a square mile of the proposed site. There is nothing sound about the plans.</p> <p>Solution: force those who approve these plans to live and work within the development. Let them face the transport hell that will ensue. Their children must go to schools within the area, oh, I forgot, they are already full.</p>